

AACS Cosmetic Surgery Annual Review Course

March 11-13, 2022

EVALUATION SUMMARY

Introduction

Report Date: March 18, 2022

Survey Stats:

- 32 attendees
- 9 evaluations returned
- Response rate: 28%
- Based on returns, results accurate within ±29% at the 95% confidence level


Methods: The evaluation was conducted via SurveyMonkey. Every attendee received the survey link at the conclusion of the course on March 13, 2022, and a reminder was emailed on March 15, 2022.

Audience:

- 97% were physicians (8=DO, 23=MD)
- 3% were non-physicians (1=DDS)
- 97% were from the U.S. (1=AZ, 3=CA, 8=FL, 1=LA, 1=MD, 1=MI, 1=NY, 1=NC, 2=OH, 3=OK, 1=PA, 2=TN, 3=TX, 1=VA, 1=WA, 1=WI)
- 3% were International (1=Canada)




If you plan to take an upcoming board certification exam, do you feel this course assisted in your preparation?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes, to a great extent	55.56%	5
Yes, to some extent	33.33%	3
No, it did not assist me	11.11%	1
N/A. I am not taking an upcoming board certification exam.	0.00%	0
TOTAL		9




Please indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements.





Please indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements.




Disagree

Strongly Di...




Overall, how would you rate this educational activity?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Excellent	33.33%	3
Very Good	33.33%	3
Good	22.22%	2
Fair	0.00%	0
Poor	11.11%	1
TOTAL		9



Program was free of commercial bias.



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	100.00%	9
No	0.00%	0
If "no", please explain instances of commercial bias:	0.00%	0
TOTAL		9



How could this educational activity be enhanced?

- 1. continue excellent teaching topics
- 2. I think having more practice questions incorporated into each lecture would be helpful
- 3. The price was extremely high considering it really did not have much educational value. There was nothing boards high yield or focused on anything helpful to be honest. It's not comparable or analogous to any other board review course
- 4. The eye surgery lecture was too procedure based. Each should be more exam based.
- 5. Mock oral boards would be nice
- 6. Incorporating more practice questions and scenarios.
- 7. Have more direct, testable information.
- 8. Less "reading off of the slides".



List a minimum of two things you are going to change as a result of what you have learned.

- 1. Greater awareness of running ACLS codes
- 2. Also improved anatomy knowledge for abdominoplasty
- 3. Approach for breast lifts
- 4. Assessment of tumescent anesthesia and expected lipo aspirate
- 5. Dissection plane of lipoabdominoplasty
- 6. Post op guidelines regarding masks and otoplasty
- 7. Nothing I'd advise future fellows not to pay this amount for this weekend
- 8. Study approach
- 9. Augmentation of breast before lifting and the performing lipoabdominoplasty
- 10.I will evaluate faces with more detail, have more in-depth conversations with patients about their goals with rhinoplasty so I can refer them properly (I don't personally do rhinoplasty).
- 11. Remove skin with the temporal incision in endoscopic browlifts.



Describe the barriers anticipated when implementing the above changes.

- 1. Training the team
- 2. I don't anticipate any
- 3. New techniques to me so there will be some learning curve

Do you have any suggestions for future topics?

- 1. More facial anatomy lectures
- 2. Thighplasty and brachioplasty
- 3. I would focus on more high yield topics and pints for each procedure for all
- 4. Anything pertinent to the exam

Additional Comments

1. The only remotely Helpful presentation was the ACLS

